UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/543,050 | 12/12/2005 | Kenichi Ishibashi | S1459.70081US00 | 2592 |
| | 7590 03/16/201 IFIELD & SACKS, P.0 | EXAMINER | | |
| 600 ATLANTIC | C AVENUE | NOVACEK, CHRISTY L | | |
| BOSTON, MA | 02210-2200 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2822 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/16/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary | | А | pplication No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--------|--|--|--|
| | | 1 | 0/543,050 | ISHIBASHI ET AL. | | | | |
| | | E | xaminer | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | С | HRISTY L. NOVACEK | 2822 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply | ation appear | s on the cover sheet with the | correspondence ac | ldress | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (| ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statue to reply within the set or extended period for reply within | ILING DATE 37 CFR 1.136(a) nication. Itory period will ap III, by statute, cau | E OF THIS COMMUNICATIO or In no event, however, may a reply be timely ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from se the application to become ABANDONI | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)[\ | Responsive to communication(s) filed | on 10 Nove | mher 2009 | | | | | |
| • | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 November 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | , | | | | | | | |
| ٥/ك | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Diamoniti | · | Alidoi Ex p | arto Quayro, 1000 0.D. 11, 1 | 00 0.0. 210. | | | | |
| · - | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>1-10,13,16-18 and 20-23</u> is/a | - | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>1-10,13 and 16-18</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>22 and 23</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>20 and 21</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction | on and/or el | ection requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a | a) accepte | ed or b) objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objecti | on to the drav | wing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | , – | (DTO 415) | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT | D-9481 | 4) ∭ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D | | | | | |
| 3) 🔯 Inform | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | - 9-10) | 5) Notice of Informal | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/28/2009</u> . 6) | | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment filed November 19, 2009.

Claim Objections

Claims 20 and 21 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form. The MPEP §608.01(n) states, "if claim 1 recites a method of making a specified product, a claim to the product set forth in claim 1 would not be a proper dependent claim since it is conceivable that the product claim can be infringed without infringing the base method claim if the product can be made by a method other than that recited in the base method claim." The product as recited in claims 20 and 21 can be made by a method other that that which is recited in claims 8 and 9, upon which claims 20 and 21, respectively, depend. For example, the product could be made having a low or non-existent carbon content by depositing a semiconductor layer that has no initial carbon content, instead of by irradiating the semiconductor layer to remove the carbon therein. Accordingly, the claims 20 and 21 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant Application/Control Number: 10/543,050

Page 3

Art Unit: 2822

art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 22 recites the limitation of, "irradiating the semiconductor layer with ultraviolet rays in atmospheric conditions". This limitation constitutes new matter. The original specification, including claims, did not state the conditions under which the irradiation step occurs. The Examiner does not agree with Applicant's assertion that, "Although the specification does not explicitly state that the irradiation may be performed in atmospheric conditions, one of skill in the art would understand this to be the case."

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 22 recites the limitation of, "irradiating the semiconductor layer with ultraviolet rays in atmospheric conditions". However, it is unclear what is specifically meant by "atmospheric conditions". Because "atmospheric conditions" could be interpreted to mean various things, and because there is nothing in the specification that defines what "atmospheric conditions" are or under what conditions the semiconductor layer is actually irradiated, the term "atmospheric conditions" as it is recited in claim 22 is found to be unclear and indefinite.

Response to Amendment

The limitations added to claims 1 and 13 are sufficient to overcome the rejections of claims 1-9, 13, 16 and 18 stated in the previous office actions. Therefore, these rejections have been withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-10, 13 and 16-18 are allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christy L. Novacek whose telephone number is (571) 272-1839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 12:00pm - 6:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/543,050 Page 5

Art Unit: 2822

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on (571) 272-2429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CLN March 13, 2010

/Zandra V. Smith/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2822